1.8), C-2)], 11 [s, 2 (⁺NH, OH)].

Anal. Calcd for C₇H₉F₃N₂O₃: C, 37.18; H, 4.01. Found: C, 37.39: H. 4.04.

Reaction of 2 with Hydrochloric Acid and Reduction of 4b to 5. An excess of dry hydrogen chloride gas was bubbled through a solution of 1.1 g (10 mmol) of 1-nitroso-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine (2) in 30 mL of chloroform at 0 °C. The solid that formed was collected by filtration to give 0.7 g (4.8 mmol) (48%) of 3-oximino-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyridinium chloride (4b); mp 155-159 °C dec. The spectral properties were nearly identical with those of 4a.

The rearrangement product 4b (1.15 g, 7.7 mmol) in 40 mL of MeOH was added to an excess of sodium borohydride in 30 mL methanol at 0 °C. After being stirred for 2 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with acetone, and the acetone solution was treated with anhydrous hydrogen chloride for 5 min. The solid that formed was removed by filtration to give a quantitative yield of solid, which on recrystallization from ethanol gives the 3-piperidone oxime hydrochloride (5), as a mixture of Z and E isomers: mp 115-145 °C dec; NMR (D₂O) δ [multiplicity, integration (assignment)] 2.4 [m, 2 (C-5)], 3.1 [t, 2 (C-4)], 3.8 [t, (C-6)], 4.1 and 4.4 [s, 2 (C-2 in Z and E isomer)]. (The signal at δ 4.4 is only 33% of that at 4.1.)

Anal. Calcd for C₅H₁₁ClN₂O: C, 39.88; H, 7.34. Found: C, 39.85; H, 7.36.

Acknowledgment. We express our appreciation for partial support of this research by a grant from the National Institutes of Environmental Health Sciences (1-R01-ESO-1975), and V.E.G. acknowledges appointment as a Mary Blanche Taxis Cancer Research Scholar.

Registry No. 2, 70501-82-5; 4a, 86834-59-5; 4b, 86834-60-8; (Z)-5.HCl, 86834-61-9; (E)-5.HCl, 86834-62-0; trifluoroacetic acid, 76-05-1; hydrogen chloride, 7647-01-0.

Persulfate/Silver Ion Decarboxylation of Carboxylic Acids. Preparation of Alkanes, Alkenes, and Alcohols

William E. Fristad,* Melisa A. Fry, and Jeffrey A. Klang

Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Received January 24, 1983

The persulfate ion, $S_2O_8^{2-}$, is an inexpensive and easily handled oxidant that has seen little use in synthetic organic chemistry.¹ Its high reduction potential ($E^{\circ} = 2.01$ V, aqueous) makes persulfate a powerful oxidant; however, the high activation barrier (approximately 30 kcal/mol) to uncatalyzed homolytic decomposition renders persulfate less useful at reasonable temperatures. The actual reactive species, sulfate radical anion, SO_4^{-} , is also not very efficient at oxidizing many organic functional groups. Transitionmetal catalysts greatly facilitate the decomposition of persulfate. They allow reactions at lower temperatures as well as produce higher oxidation state metal ions that are capable of performing unique oxidations themselves.

The persulfate/silver ion combination has been most widely used, and in particular, Anderson and Kochi have investigated the mechanism of carboxylic acid decarboxylation by this reagent pair.² Their work identified many

of the mechanistic features of the decarboxylation of carboxylic acids with the persulfate/silver system; however, they consistently used a vast excess of carboxylic acid in the kinetic experiments. Thus the synthetic potential was not demonstrated. Other workers have used this same reagent pair to produce organic radicals for other purposes.³ In this note we describe our results, which show that silver(II)-catalyzed decarboxylation is a synthetically useful reaction for producing alkanes, alkenes, or alcohols. Our choice of experimental conditions also gives either exclusively one product or a much simpler product mixture than observed previously.² Other decarboxylation methods such as *tert*-butyl perester decomposition,⁴ copper/ quinoline,⁵ or lead tetraacetate⁶ all have drawbacks such as the high temperature required or myriad of products produced. While our present method is not without flaw (vide infra) it is simple, fast (20-min reaction time), and proceeds predictably at moderate temperature (76 °C). The yields are modest, but still compare favorably with other known methods of decarboxylation.

Results and Discussion

Our silver(II) decarboxylations can be broken down into two types: (1) decarboxylation in a water/acetonitrile solvent combination which led to alkanes by hydrogen abstraction and (2) decarboxylation in the presence of a copper(II) cocatalyst which led to products from the corresponding carbonium ion. The hydrogen abstraction results are presented in Table I and were the result of the slow addition of 2 mol of sodium persulfate to 1 mol of the carboxylic acid and 2 mol % silver nitrate in refluxing 50% aqueous acetonitrile. Total reaction time required was 20 min. An excess of persulfate was found to be necessary to drive the reaction to even 50% completion. The excess persulfate is presumably consumed by oxidation of water.¹ Other water-miscible solvents capable of donating a hydrogen atom (THF, glyme, dioxane) were found to be unsuitable for this reaction as well as several two-phased systems. Table I also includes three entries that employed a stoichiometric amount of silver nitrate; however, this resulted in no substantial improvement over the catalytic silver reaction. By varying the percent of silver catalyst, we demonstrated that the catalyst could be satisfactorily reduced to a 2 mol % level.

The previously proposed mechanism (Scheme I) was

Scheme I

$$Ag(I) + S_2 O_8^{2-} \rightarrow Ag(II) + SO_4^{-} + SO_4^{2-}$$
(1)

$$Ag(I) + SO_4^{-} \rightarrow Ag(II) + SO_4^{2-}$$
(2)

$$Ag(II) + RCO_2H \rightarrow Ag(I) + RCO_2 + H^+$$
 (3)

$$\operatorname{RCO}_2 \to \operatorname{R} + \operatorname{CO}_2$$
 (4)

$$\mathbf{R} \cdot + \mathbf{H} \cdot \operatorname{solv} \to \mathbf{R} \mathbf{H} + \cdot \operatorname{solv} \tag{5}$$

found to be consistent with all of our present results.² The reactivity of various carboxylic acids, as shown by the extent of conversion, roughly followed the expected order of radical stability $(3^{\circ} > 2^{\circ} > 1^{\circ})$, although the difference in reactivity is small. Unreacted starting material exclusively constituted the acidic material recovered from the

⁽¹⁾ Recent examples of its use include: Giordano, C.; Belli, A.; Casagrande, F.; Guglielmetti, G.; Citterio, A. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3149-3151. Eberhardt, M. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3876-3878. Rasmussen, J. K.; Smith, H. K., II. Ibid. 1981, 103, 730-731. For a review, see: House, D. A. Chem. Rev. 1962, 62, 185-203. (2) Anderson, J. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1651, 1650.

^{1651-1659.}

⁽³⁾ Clerici, A.; Minisci, F.; Porta, O. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 2775–2779. Jacobsen, N.; Sharma, S. C.; Torssell, K. Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1979, B33, 499-502. Giles, R. G. F.; Roos, G. H. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3093-3094.

⁽⁴⁾ Eaton, P. E.; Cole, T. W., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 3157 - 3158

⁽⁵⁾ Buckles, R.; Wheeler, N. G. "Organic Syntheses"; Wiley: New York, 1963; Collect. Vol. IV, pp 857–859.
(6) Sheldon, R. A.; Kochi, J. K. Org. React. (N.Y.) 1972, 19, 279–421.

Table I. Silver(I)/Persulfate Results

entry	acid (RCOOH)	product (RH)	catalytic conversion, %	yield, ^b %	stoichio- metric conversion, %	, ^a yield, ^b %	
1	nonanoic	octane	44	42	87	48	
2	2-ethylhexanoic	heptane	37	65			
3	cyclohexanecarboxylic	cyclohexane	66	52			
4	2- <i>endo</i> -norbornanecarboxylic	norbornane	49	64			
5	1-methylcyclohexanecarboxylic	methylcyclohexane	88	40	85	36	
6	1-adamantanecarboxylic	adamantane	69	66 c	92	46^{d}	
7	2-(phenylmethyl)-2- methylbutanoic	1-phenyl-2- methylbutane	55	55			

^a Based on recovered starting carboxylic acid. ^b Yield based on GC integration with internal standard. ^c Adamantyl methyl ketone was also obtained in 23% yield. ^d Adamantyl methyl ketone was also obtained in 18% yield.

Table II. Silver(I)- and Copper(II)/Persulfate Results

entry	acid (RCOOH)	convn, ^a %	product (yield, $b \%$)
1	nonanoic	65	1-octene (40)
2	2-ethylhexanoic	73	heptenes (58) , ^c 3-heptanol (12)
3	cyclohexanecarboxylic	51	cyclohexene (36)
4	1-methylcyclohexanecarboxylic	57	1-methylcyclohexanol (80)
5	1-adamantanecarboxylic	45	1-adamantanol (73)
6	phenylacetic	65	benzaldehyde (63)
7	diphenylacetic	86	benzhydrol (10), benzophenone (62), 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethane (12)
8	2-phenylbutanoic	73	1-phenyl-1-propanol (73), propiophenone (23), benzaldehyde (4)

^a Based on recovered starting carboxylic acid. ^b Yield based on GC integration with internal standard. ^c Mixture of 2and 3-heptenes.

reaction. This was proven by diazomethane esterification and GC analysis. In all cases, except 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (entry 6), the decarboxylation was clean and the corresponding alkane was the most volatile product. In the case of 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid, hydrogen abstraction was still the major reaction pathway; however, a lesser amount of nucleophilic radical addition to acetonitrile was observed, which produced 1-adamantyl methyl ketone upon hydrolysis within the reaction mixture. Only trace amounts of methyl ketone products were observed for the other acids used. The tendency of tertiary radicals to add in a nucleophilic fashion to acetonitrile has not been previously reported but is entirely consistent with other examples of nucleophilic radical addition to electron-deficient systems.⁷

When the decarboxylation was carried out in the presence of 10 mol % cupric sulfate, the alkyl radical produced in eq 4 was intercepted by copper(II) ion and oxidized via an alkylcopper(II) species.^{2,8} The resulting copper(I) was presumably reoxidized by persulfate,¹ since less than equivalent amounts totally change the type of organic products produced. These results are summarized in Table II. In the case of primary radicals, this gave exclusively the alkene by copper oxidation and proton loss. Secondary radicals (entries 2 and 3) led to alkenes and alcohols, while tertiary radicals led exclusively to alcohols. This behavior of 1°, 2°, and 3° alkylcopper(II) species produced by copper(II) sulfate oxidation of the corresponding radical was generally consistent with previous work,⁹ although the present degree of specificity is somewhat different than that observed in other solvent systems.² Benzyl radicals, produced in entries 6-8, led to a mixture of products that included overoxidation and in entry 7, diphenyl acetic acid,

a small amount (12%) of dimeric coupling product.¹⁰ Experimentally, this silver decarboxylation/copper oxidation could be performed in the presence or absence of acetonitrile and no hydrogen abstraction was observed. The inclusion of pyridine, to promote proton loss,¹¹ was not found to benefit the reaction but, in fact, resulted in lower yields. Nucleophilic radical addition to the pyridine ring was now also observed.¹²

In conclusion, silver(II) decarboxylation of carboxylic acids is a viable alternative for the preparation of alkanes from any carboxylic acid precursor. The preparation of alkenes from primary radicals and alcohols from tertiary radical intermediates should also be routinely possible. Particular advantages are the low cost of the persulfate oxidant, catalytic use of a silver(I) salt, and moderate temperature. The main drawback is the reactivity of persulfate (catalyzed or thermal) with other organic functionality such as glycols,¹³ amines,¹⁴ and olefins.¹⁵ The alkenes produced by this silver(II)/copper(II) method appear to be adequately protected from further oxidation by their low solubility in the aqueous medium employed.

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined with an Electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian HFT-80 instrument. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to internal tetramethylsilane. Mass spectra were obtained with an AE1 Ms-30 (electron impact, 70 eV) or a Finnigan 4000 (chemical ionization) spectrometer.

⁽⁷⁾ For discussion of nucleophilic radicals, see: Minisci, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 165–171. Citterio, A.; Arnoldi, A.; Minisci, F. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 2674–2682.

 ⁽⁸⁾ Kochi, J. K.; Subramanian, R. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 4855-4866. Kochi, J. K.; Jenkins, C. L.; Bemis, A. Ibid. 1968, 90, 4616-4625.

⁽⁹⁾ Jenkins, C. L.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 843-855.

⁽¹⁰⁾ For a discussion of benzyl radical chemistry, see: Fristad, W. E.; Klang, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. **1983**, 24, 2219-2222.

 ⁽¹¹⁾ Goldman, J.; Jacobsen, N.; Torssell, K. Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1974, B28, 492-500.

 ⁽¹²⁾ Clerici, A.; Minisci, F.; Porta, O. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 2775–2779.
 (13) Huyser, E. S.; Rose, L. G. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 649–653.
 Greenspan, F. P.; Woodburn, H. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 6345–6349.

 ⁽¹⁴⁾ Nomura, Y.; Ogawa, K.; Takeuchi, Y.; Tomada, S. Chem. Lett.
 1977, 693-696. Babu, J. S.; Joshi, V.; Bhattacharya, A. K. Bull. Chem.
 Soc. Jpn. 1976, 49, 1820-1823. Gupta, R. C.; Srivastava, S. P. Indian J.
 Chem. 1972, 10, 706-709.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Fristad, W. E.; Peterson, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett., in press.

Gas chromatographic results were obtained with a Varian 3700 instrument equipped with either a 5% Carbowax 20 M on Chromosorb W (1/8 in. $\times 2$ m) or a 5% SF-96 on Chromosorb W $(1/8 \text{ in.} \times 2 \text{ m})$ column. All products were isolated by preparative GC and gave satisfactory comparison with literature data or authentic samples (NMR, IR, MS, GC retention time).

General Procedure for Alkane Formation. The acid or sodium acid salt (1.3 mmol) and silver nitrate (0.025 mmol) were dissolved in acetonitrile (15 mL) and water (5 mL) and heated to reflux. To this solution was added a solution of sodium persulfate (2.6 mmol) in water (10 mL) over 15 min. Refluxing was continued another 5 min before the reaction mixture was cooled and extracted with ether $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined ether layers were extracted with a saturated sodium bicarbonate solution (3 \times 10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and analyzed by GC. Unreacted acid was recovered by acidification of the bicarbonate extractions.

General Procedure for Alkene/Alcohol Formation. The acid or sodium acid salt (1.3 mmol), silver nitrate (0.025 mmol), and cupric sulfate (0.13 mmol) were heated to reflux in water (8 mL), and sulfuric acid was added until all copper carboxylate salts dissolved. To this solution was added sodium persulfate (2.6 mmol) in water (7 mL) over 15 min. Refluxing was continued another 5 min, before cooling and work up as above.

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research and to Du Pont for a Young Faculty Research Grant.

Registry No. Nonanoic acid, 112-05-0; 2-ethylhexanoic acid, 149-57-5; cyclohexanecarboxylic acid, 98-89-5; 2-endo-norbornanecarboxylic acid, 934-28-1; 1-methylcyclohexanecarboxylic acid, 1123-25-7; 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid, 828-51-3; 2-(phenylmethyl)-2-methylbutanoic acid, 57144-65-7; phenylacetic acid, 103-82-2; diphenylacetic acid, 117-34-0; 2-phenylbutanoic acid, 90-27-7; octane, 111-65-9; heptane, 142-82-5; cyclohexane, 110-82-7; norbornane, 279-23-2; methylcyclohexane, 108-87-2; adamantane, 281-23-2; 1-phenyl-2-methylbutane, 3968-85-2; 1-octene, 111-66-0; 2-heptene, 592-77-8; 3-heptanol, 589-82-2; cyclohexene, 110-83-8; 1-methylcyclohexanol, 590-67-0; 1-adamantanol, 768-95-6; benzaldehyde, 100-52-7; benzhydrol, 91-01-0; benzopenone, 119-61-9; 1,1,2,2-tetraphenylethane, 632-50-8; 1-phenyl-1-propanol, 93-54-9; propiophenone, 93-55-0; silver nitrate, 7761-88-8; sodium persulfate, 7775-27-1; 3-heptene, 592-78-9.

Synthesis of 10-(Chloromethyl)benzo[a]pyrene

Lorraine M. Deck and Guido H. Daub*

Department of Chemistry, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131

Received January 24, 1983

As part of a study of the reactions of electrophiles derived from methylbenzo[a]pyrenes with nucleophiles related to biological systems, 6-(chloromethyl)benzo[a]pyrene¹ and 1-(chloromethyl)benzo[a]pyrene² have been synthesized and studied.^{2,3} These chloromethyl derivatives are readily converted to stabilized arylmethyl cations under solvolytic conditions.^{2,3} Studies involving the arylmethyl cation generated from 6-(chloromethyl)benzo-[a]pyrene have shown that this cation could be trapped readily by nucleosides, deoxynucleosides, and nucleotides containing adenine, guanine, or cytosine.^{4,5}

A logical compound for further studies is 10-(chloromethyl)benzo[a]pyrene (1a), which on solvolysis would



generate the carbonium ion 1b with the electrophilic site in the bay region,⁶ and we report its synthesis here.

Ethyl 1-pyreneacetate (2d) was readily available via the Willgerodt reaction⁷ or the Kindler modification thereof⁸ on 1-acetylpyrene (2a).⁷ The Kindler–Willgerodt method



was more convenient to carry out and afforded the thiomorpholide 2b in over 96% yield. Hydrolysis to the acid $2c^7$ followed by conventional esterification afforded 2d in 70% overall yield.9

Treatment of the ester 2d with ethyl acrylate¹⁰ in the presence of sodium ethoxide gave a 75% yield of the ester 2e, which was readily converted to the half-ester 2f by partial hydrolysis (74% yield). Cyclization of 2f by treatment with anhydrous HF afforded the keto ester 3a in 71% yield. Reduction of the ester 3a by the Clemmensen method¹¹ gave the ester 3c (87% yield), which was dehydrogenated with DDQ to 1c in 65% yield. Reduction of the ester 1c to 1d was accomplished with lithium aluminum hydride in 96% yield, and 1d afforded 1a in 71% yield by treatment with thionyl chloride.

Other approaches to the synthesis of 1a from the diester 2e were less satisfactory. One such approach involved a sequence beginning with the keto acid 3b,¹² and the other

p 61. (10) Bhattacharyya, P. C. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1967, 44, 641.

⁽¹⁾ Royer, R.; Daub, G. H.; VanderJagt, D. L. J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm. 1976, 12, 377. (2) Moy, G. G.; Royer, R.; VanderJagt, D. L.; Daub, G. H; paper

resented at the Rocky Mountain Regional Meeting of the American Chemical Society, June, 1978.

⁽³⁾ Royer, R.; Daub, G. H.; VanderJagt, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3196.

⁽⁴⁾ Royer, R.; Lyle, T. A.; Moy, G. G., Daub, G. H.; VanderJagt, D. L.

⁽⁴⁾ Royer, R.; Lyle, T. A.; Moy, G. G., Daub, G. H.; VanderJagt, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3202.
(5) Lyle, T. A.; Royer, R. E.; Daub, G. H.; VanderJagt, D. L. Chem.-Biol. Interact. 1980, 29, 197.
(6) Jerina, D. M.; Yagi, H.; Lehr, R. E.; Thakker, D. R.; Schaefer-Ridder, M.; Karle, J. M.; Levin, W.; Wood, A. W.; Chang, R. L.; Conney, A. H. "Polycyclic Hydrocarbons Cancer"; Academic Press: New York, 1973; Vol. 1, p. 172.

⁽⁷⁾ Bachmann, W. E.; Carmack, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1941, 63, 2494.
(8) Schwenk, E.; Papa, D. J. Org. Chem. 1946, 11, 798.
(9) Schwenk, E.; Ph. D. Discertation University of New Mexico, 1980,

⁽⁹⁾ Klassen, S. E. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of New Mexico, 1980,

⁽¹¹⁾ Morreal, C. E.; Alks, V. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 3411.